

## Senate Bill 9 Summary

### California Fair Sentencing for Youth

Source: [www.FairSentencingForYouth.org](http://www.FairSentencingForYouth.org), Updated 4.22.2011

#### Senate Bill 9

In December, 2010, a new bill was introduced that would help make California's laws more fair, and give a chance to young people to turn their lives around. Authored by Senator Yee, with Principal Coauthors Senators Steinberg and Vargas, and Coauthors Assembly Members Fuentes and Lowenthal, the bill has a growing list of supporters from all walks of life in California. It is a bill that is fiscally wise and policy sound. It both protects the public safety and recognizes the potential of all young people to grow up and give back to society.

To see a list of organizations that supported a similar bill and which are expected to also support SB 9, go to our [Supporters](#) page on this website. To read the text of the bill, see: [sb 9 bill introduced](#).

Senate Bill 9 recognizes that all young people, even those serving life without parole sentences, have the capacity to change for the better and should have access to the rehabilitative tools to do so. This Act would provide an opportunity for review and resentencing after many years of incarceration for youth sentenced to life without parole in prison. Recognizing that teenagers are still maturing, this Act creates specific criteria and an intense, three-part review process that would result in the possibility of a lesser sentence for those offenders who have matured and proven themselves to have changed. Under this Act, youth sentenced to life without parole could petition a court to review his or her case after serving between 10 and 25 years in prison. If the offender meets certain criteria, the court would review the case and decide, after listening to all sides, if a lower sentence should be imposed. Not all youth would get a new sentencing hearing, and those who did would have no guarantee of getting a lesser sentence. Even if resentenced, offenders must still face a parole board and must prove they merit parole. Otherwise, they will remain in prison. There would be no guarantee of parole, only the opportunity to earn it.

#### Life without parole sentences ignore that young people have a unique ability to change

Youth can and do commit terrible crimes. When they do, they should be held accountable and face appropriate punishment. But youth are different from adults; youth have a greater capacity for rehabilitation. Young people continue to develop their identity and the direction of

their lives into their early twenties. Recent findings in neuroscience confirm what many parents and teachers have long known: brain maturation is a process that continues through adolescence and into early adulthood, and impulse control, planning, and thinking ahead are skills still in development well beyond age 18. In addition, there is widespread agreement among child development researchers that young people who commit crimes are more likely to reform their behavior and have a better chance at rehabilitation than adults.

The Supreme Court agrees—In *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551 (2005) the Court explained, “From a moral standpoint it would be misguided to equate the failings of a minor with those of an adult, for a greater possibility exists that a minor’s character deficiencies will be reformed.” No one can know definitively what kind of person a 14, 15, 16, or 17-year-old will become. Therefore, it is appropriate to provide youth with meaningful and periodic reviews of their life without parole sentences to ensure that those who can prove they have reformed are given an opportunity to re-enter society as contributing citizens.

## **Life without parole sentences for youth don’t reduce crime**

Evidence shows that these sentences provide little or no real deterrent effect. California’s arrest rate for violent crimes by youth is higher than many other states, including states that do not sentence children to life without parole.

Life without parole sentences for youth are used unfairly. California has the worst record in the nation for racial disparity in the imposition of life without parole for juveniles. African American youth are sentenced to life without parole at over 18 times the rate of white youth. Hispanic youth are sentenced to life without parole five times more often than white youth.

## **81% of the public agrees: Youth should not spend the rest of their lives in prison**

California’s laws should be more just; juveniles who commit crimes should be sentenced appropriately for the crime. But we should preserve the opportunity to review whether a person sentenced to life in prison as a child has been rehabilitated, and we should recognize that many youth receiving this sentence were convicted for a murder in which they played a minor role. The public agrees: A recent survey showed that 81 percent of West Coast residents believe that youth are redeemable and should not spend the rest of their lives in prison.

## **EXISTING LAW**

Existing law allows youth to be sentenced to life in prison without the possibility of parole under California Penal Code §190.5 and various other Penal Code sections. Existing law under Penal Code §1170(d) permits resentencing.

## **QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

### **Q: Does “life without parole” really mean no parole?**

Yes. In California a sentence of life without parole (“LWOP”) means that these young offenders will die in prison with absolutely no opportunity to ask for parole. It also means those

sentenced to LWOP have limited access to programs and rehabilitative services in prison, and no incentives provided by the state to work towards self improvement in prison.

**Q: If a youth offender is resentenced to 25-to-life instead of LWOP, when is the first time he or she could ask for parole?**

Only after serving 25 years in prison can an inmate ask for parole if he or she was convicted of murder. While old laws allowed "time off for good behavior" current California law requires these inmates to fully serve a minimum of 25 years. There are no exceptions.

**Q: Shouldn't these sentences be used for the worst crimes and the worst of criminals?**

Yes— but that is not how the sentences are being used with youth. Nationally, 59 percent of juveniles sentenced to life without parole are first-time offenders—without a single crime on a juvenile court record. These young offenders are not the worst of the worst offenders. In California, Human Rights Watch estimates that 45 percent of youth offenders serving life without parole were convicted of murder but were not the ones to actually commit the murder. This is possible under California law which holds youth responsible for a murder that happens while they were part of a felony, even if they did not plan or expect a murder to occur. Youth in life without parole cases are often acting under the influence of an adult. In nearly 70 percent of cases reported to Human Rights Watch in which the youth was not acting alone, at least one codefendant was an adult. Survey responses reveal that in 56 percent of those cases, the adult received a lower sentence than the juvenile.

**Q: Don't life without parole sentences help control crime?**

No. As a society we've learned a lot since the time this sentence was enacted. Evidence indicates that LWOP sentences provide no deterrent effect. Additionally, it is now recognized that the adolescent brain is still developing an ability to comprehend consequences and control impulses. This is particularly relevant to assessing criminal behavior and an individual's ability to be rehabilitated.

**Q: What do other states and countries do?**

International human rights law strictly prohibits LWOP for youth and the United States is the only country in the world to sentence youth to life in prison with no opportunity for parole. Fourteen jurisdictions in the United States already prohibit the sentencing of youth to life without parole or do not have any youth offenders serving the sentence: Alaska, Colorado, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oregon, Texas, Vermont, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Other states have efforts underway to eliminate the sentence, including Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Louisiana, Michigan, Nebraska, and Washington.